

STATISTICAL REPORTS INDEX

1. DIRECT APPEALS (CIVIL AND CRIMINAL)	PAGE 1
2. JUDGMENT REPORT (CASES DISMISSED)	PAGE 2
3. JUDGMENT REPORT (WHOLE COURT CASES)	PAGE 3
4. DISCRETIONARY APPLICATIONS	PAGE 4
5. WORKERS COMPENSATION APPLICATIONS	PAGE 5
6. INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATIONS	PAGE 6
7. MISDEMEANOR CASES DOCKETED	PAGE 7
8. CRIMINAL CASES DOCKETED	PAGE 8
9. CIVIL CASES DOCKETED	PAGE 9
10. CASE LOAD SUMMARY	PAGE 11

CIVIL AND CRIMINAL CASE DOCKETING INFORMATION

DIRECT APPEALS

	<u>1991</u>	<u>1992</u>	<u>1993</u>
TOTAL NUMBER DOCKETED:	2265	2455	2608 (TO 10/12)
CIVIL:	1305	1429	1535
CRIMINAL:	960	1020	1073
% CIVIL:	74%	71%	70%

COURT OF APPEALS
JUDGMENT STATISTICAL SUMMARY

DISMISSED

JANUARY 1, 1993 THROUGH OCTOBER 12, 1993

TOTAL DIRECT APPEALS	252
TOTAL INTERLOCUTORY	36
TOTAL DISCRETIONARY	37
TOTAL CASES DISMISSED	325

COURT OF APPEALS
JUDGMENT STATISTICAL SUMMARY

WHOLE COURT CASES

Decided during January, April and September Terms

1991:

DIRECT APPEALS	110
APPLICATIONS	3

1992:

DIRECT APPEALS	74
APPLICATIONS	0

1993:

DIRECT APPEALS	38
APPLICATIONS	6

(1/1/93-10/12/93)

DISCRETIONARY APPLICATIONS

	<u>1991</u>	<u>1992</u>	<u>1993</u>
NUMBER DOCKETED:	430	473	345
GRANTED:	95	89	94
DENIED:	268	334	201
DISMISSED:	33	34	35
TRANSF'D TO S.CT.:	9	0	0
CHNGED TO INTERLOC:	3	5	4

TOTAL DISCRETIONARIES IN DOMESTIC RELATIONS CASES:

	<u>1992</u>	<u>1993</u>
	54	56 (AS OF 10/1593)
GRANTED:	16	16

LARGEST CATEGORY: WORKERS COMPENSATION

1991: 107 1992: 198 1993: 185 TO DATE

DISCRETIONARY APPLICATIONS DOCKETED IN
WORKERS COMPENSATION CASES

	<u>1991</u>	<u>1992</u>	<u>1993</u>
DOCKETED:	185	198	107
GRANTED:	45	35	28
% GRANTED:	24%	17.6%	26%

TOTAL NUMBER DISCRETIONARY APPLICATIONS DOCKETED:

	<u>1991</u>	<u>1992</u>	<u>1993</u>
	350	463	386
WORKERS COMP DOCKETED:	185	198	107
% OF TOTAL DISCRETIONARIES:	52%	43%	28%

[1993: THROUGH OCTOBER 12, 1993]

INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATIONS

	<u>1991</u>	<u>1992</u>	<u>1993</u>
NUMBER DOCKETED:	450	486	345 (THRU 10/12)
GRANTED:	127	172	92
DENIED:	223	277	158
DISMISSED:	39	31	31
TRANSF'D TO S.CT.:	3	0	1
CHNGED TO DISCRETIONARY:	1	0	1

TOTAL INTERLOCUTORIES FROM ORDERS ON SUMMARY JUDGMENTS:

(INFERENCE THAT THEY ARE FROM DENIALS)

<u>1991</u>	<u>1992</u>	<u>1993</u>
156	115	95

LARGEST CATEGORY: SJ/NEGLIGENCE/PERSONAL INJURY:

1991: 35 1992: 56 1993: 70

MISDEMEANOR CASES DOCKETED

	<u>1991</u>	<u>1992</u>	<u>1993</u> (to 10/12)
Traffic violations	11	18	5
Public drunk	1	5	0
Underage alcohol (possession)	1	1	0
Trespass	13	6	2
Violation of ordinance	1	2	3
Hunting violations	3	0	0
Abusive language	1	1	0
Simple assault	5	1	3
Public indecency	2	0	1
Prostitution	2	0	0
Harassing phone calls	1	4	2
Simple battery	11	7	6
Theft (by taking, receiving, services)	22	35	13
Shoplifting	16	6	6
Speeding	4	7	5
Cruelty to Animals	1	1	0
Boating violations	0	0	1
Pointing a pistol	0	0	1
Loitering	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>1</u>
TOTAL MISDEMEANORS EACH YEAR:	95	94	46

CRIMINAL CASE CLASSIFICATIONS

NUMBER OF CASES (OVER 25) IN EACH CLASSIFICATION

	<u>1991</u>	<u>1992</u>	<u>1993</u> <u>(THRU 10/12/93)</u>
VGCSA	239	265	189
MOTION TO SUPPRESS VGCSA	29	17	11
BURGLARY	62	66	45
ARMED ROBBERY	79	87	74
RAPE	42	42	41
DUI	68	82	63
AGG. ASSAULT	89	75	67
CHILD MOLESTATION	55	77	73
PROBATION REV.	25	37	26
MOTIONS TO SUPPRESS	34	50	43
TOTAL THESE CLASSIFICATIONS:	722	798	632

CIVIL CASE CLASSIFICATIONS

NUMBER OF FILINGS (OVER 25) IN EACH CLASSIFICATION

	<u>1991</u>	<u>1992</u>	<u>1993</u> THRU 10/12/93
TORT:	55	56	34
SUMMARY JUDGMENT/TORT:	69	73	60
CONTRACT/LEASE	13	26	9
SUMMARY JUDGMENT/CONTRACT	75	62	39
SJ/CONTRACT/LEASE	31	21	18
SJ/CONTRACT/INSURANCE	98	114	61
SJ/CONTRACT/NOTE	48	45	31
CONTRACT/ACCOUNT	35	36	28
SJ/CONTRACT ACCOUNT	33	47	34
CONTRACT	85	128	1
MOTION TO DISMISS	87	107	59
ATTORNEY FEES (9-15-14)	51	52	45
ADMINISTRATIVE	46	52	69
MOTION TO SET ASIDE	73	52	67
DISPOSSESSORY	13	35	41
MOTION TO COMPEL	19	34	7
REAL PROPERTY	10	29	28
SJ/CONTRACT/REAL PROPERTY	26	15	12
CHILD CUSTODY	45	53	53
CHILD SUPPORT	29	29	30

CLASSIFICATIONS, CONT'D.

	<u>1991</u>	<u>1992</u>	<u>1993</u>
PERSONAL INJURY	85	77	60
SJ/PERSONAL INJURY	157	226	216
WORKERS COMPENSATION	238	244	134
TERMINATION/PARENTAL RGTS.	26	17	17
LIBEL	26	22	2
SJ/WRONGFUL DEATH	35	37	29
SJ/MEDICAL MALPRACTICE	23	27	13
CONTEMPT	15	28	14
TOTAL THESE CLASSIFICATIONS:	1546	1744	1211

DATE: 01/14/93

COURT OF APPEALS

PAGE 1

C A S E L O A D S U M M A R Y

HISTORY SET =	1992	1991	1990	1989	1988	1987	1986	1985	1984	1983
DIRECT APPEALS:	2455	2265	2384	2360	2306	2071	2666	1946	2135	2215
% VARIANCE:	7.7	5.3-	1.0	2.3	10.2	28.7-	27.0	9.7-	3.7-	2.3
AVG. CASES PER JUDGE:	0272	0251	0264	0262	0256	0230	0296	0216	0237	0246
DESCRETIONARY APPL.:	0471	0430	0394	0408	0356	0342	0313	0298	0314	0238
% VARIANCE:	8.7	8.4	3.6-	12.7	3.9	8.5	4.8	5.4-	24.2	8.0
AVG. CASES PER JUDGE:	0052	0047	0043	0045	0039	0038	0034	0033	0034	0026
INTERLOCUTORY APPL.:	0486	0450	0400	0401	0361	0391	0313	0343	0327	0328
% VARIANCE:	7.4	11.1	.3-	10.0	8.3-	19.9	9.6-	4.7	.3-	21.3
AVG. CASES PER JUDGE:	0054	0050	0044	0044	0040	0043	0034	0038	0036	0036
TOTAL MATTERS DOCT:	3412	3145	3178	3169	3023	2804	3292	2587	2776	2781
AVG. CASES PER JUDGE:	0379	0349	0353	0352	0335	0311	0365	0287	0308	0309



Court of Appeals

Memorandum

To: PRESIDING JUDGE CARLEY

From: V. MCLAUGHLIN

Subject: 1990, 1991 CERT INFORMATION

Date: JANUARY 14, 1991

IN CALENDAR YEAR 1990, 604 APPLICATIONS FOR CERT WERE DOCKETED IN THE SUPREME COURT. 79 WERE GRANTED (13%). THE JUDGMENT OF OUR COURT WAS REVERSED IN WHOLE OR IN PART IN 39 OF THE GRANTED CERTS (50%)

IN CALENDAR YEAR 1991, 570 APPLICATIONS FOR CERT WERE DOCKETED IN THE SUPREME COURT. 51 WERE GRANTED (9%). THE JUDGMENT OF OUR COURT WAS REVERSED IN WHOLE OR IN PART IN 23 OF THE GRANTED CERTS (22%).



Court of Appeals

Memorandum

To: JUDGE CARLEY
From: V. MCLAUGHLIN
Subject: 1990 STATISTICS IN CRIMINAL CASES
Date: NOVEMBER 26, 1991

I COMPILED THE FOLLOWING STATISTICS FOLLOWING A REQUEST BY CHIEF JUDGE SOGNIER:

1044 CRIMINAL CASES WERE DOCKETED IN CALENDAR YEAR 1990.

727 WERE AFFIRMED BY OPINION.

61 WERE REVERSED IN FULL OR PART AND/OR REMANDED

(BALANCE WERE DOCKETED TO 1992 OR TRANSFERRED OR DISMISSED)

THIS MEANS AN 8% REVERSAL RATE IN CRIMINAL CASES IN 1990.

1990 CASELOAD SUMMARY OF DIRECT APPEALS AND APPLICATIONS

FILED:

DIRECT APPEALS	2,384
DISCRETIONARY APPLICATIONS	394
INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATIONS	400
TOTAL MATTERS FILED	3,178

DISPOSITIONS:

BY OPINION 1,922 BY ORDER: 407

DISCRETIONARY APPLICATIONS:

GRANTED	98
DENIED	253
DISMISSED	24
TRANSFERRED TO S. CT.	8
WITHDRAWN	4
CHANGED TO INTERLOCUTORY	5

INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATIONS:

GRANTED	108
DENIED	243
DISMISSED	25
TRANSFERRED TO S. CT.	5
WITHDRAWN	3

GEORGIA Court of Appeals - 1990

GEORGIA Court of Appeals

Jurisdiction	3	State	11	Court	112	Year	1
Number of Judges	9	Lawyer Support	28				
Total Population	6,436	Adult Population	4,639				

Filed	Qty	Disposed	Qty
-------	-----	----------	-----

MANDATORY JURISDICTION:

Appeals of final judgments:

Civil	-3 Z	-3 Z
Criminal:		
Capital criminal	-1 Z	-1 Z
Other criminal	-3 Z	-3 Z
Total criminal	-3 Z	-3 Z
Juvenile	-3 Z	-3 Z
Administrative agency	-3 Z	-3 Z
Unclassified	2,384 C	1,535 C
Total Direct Motions	2,384	1,535
Total final judgments	2,384 A	1,535 A

Other mandatory cases:

Disciplinary matters	-1 Z	-1 Z
Original proceedings	-1 Z	-1 Z
Interlocutory decisions	-1 Z	-1 Z
Advisory opinions	-1 Z	-1 Z
Total other mandatory	-1 Z	-1 Z

Total mandatory cases	2,384 A	1,535 A
------------------------------	----------------	----------------

GEORGIA Court of Appeals - 1990

DISCRETIONARY JURISDICTION:

	Filed	Qty	Filed Petitions Granted	Qty	Disposed	Qty	Filed Petitions Granted Disposed	Qty
<u>Petitions of final judgment:</u>								
Civil		-3 Z		-5 Z		-3 Z		-5 Z
Criminal		-3 Z		-5 Z		-3 Z		-5 Z
Juvenile		-3 Z		-5 Z		-3 Z		-5 Z
Administrative agency		-3 Z		-5 Z		-3 Z		-5 Z
Unclassified		-3 Z		-5 Z		-3 Z		-5 Z
Total final judgments		-3 Z		-5 Z		-3 Z		-5 Z
<u>Other discretionary petitions:</u>								
Disciplinary matters		-1 Z		-1 Z		-1 Z		-1 Z
Original proceedings		-3 Z		-5 Z		-3 Z		-5 Z
Interlocutory decisions		-3 Z		-5 Z		-3 Z		-5 Z
Advisory opinions		-1 Z		-1 Z		-1 Z		-1 Z
Total other discretionary		-3 Z		-5 Z		-3 Z		-5 Z
Total discretionary cases		809 A		-5 Z		706 A		-5 Z
Grand total cases		3,193 A		-5 Z		2,241 A		-5 Z

GEORGIA Court of Appeals - 1990

Number of supplemental judges/justices	0
Number of independent appellate courts at this level	1

MANNER OF DISPOSITION

Pre-argument disposition (dismissed/withdrawn/settled)	-2
Signed opinion	1,922
Per curiam opinion	-2
Decision without opinion (memo/order)	407
Transferred	-2
Other	-2

TYPE OF DECISION IN MANDATORY CASES/GRANTED PETITIONS OF FINAL JUDGMENT

Opinions:		Total decisions:	
Affirmed	-2	Affirmed	-2
Modified	-2	Modified	-2
Reversed	-2	Reversed	-2
Mixed	-2	Mixed	-2
Dismissed	-2	Dismissed	-2
Other	-2	Other	-2

Georgia Court of Appeals

Mandatory Jurisdiction

The following data are overinclusive:

- (C) Unclassified cases filed and disposed include civil, total criminal, administrative agency, and juvenile cases.

EXPLANATORY NOTES - APPELLATE COURT JURISDICTION GUIDES

Jurisdiction Guides for the appellate courts have been included for your review. The Jurisdiction Guide is our guide for classifying an appellate court's caseload statistics into the model statistical reporting categories recommended by COSCA. These Jurisdiction Guides need careful review and updating, since they reflect information obtained in 1986, when they were last updated.

Information on the Jurisdiction Guide is loosely grouped into four main sections. We are asking you to review each section and correct any information that you feel is in error or could be explained in greater detail. Let me briefly go over what is in each section:

1. The first section covers court organization, subject matter jurisdiction, recordkeeping practices, and some court rules.
2. The second section, called Caseload Inventory, is our main reference for classifying an individual court's casetypes into the CSP model reporting scheme outlined in the State Court Model Statistical Dictionary, 1989. This section is designed as a matrix, with each individual court's casetype terminology shown in the left hand column and the CSP terminology shown across the top (Note: the reference to "CSIM case terminology" should be read "CSP case terminology", CSIM is the former name of the CSP). An "X" indicates that the data for the particular CSP case type is reported by the individual court using the term listed in the "This court's case terminology" column. For example, if your court has a case category called Civil Appeal it would appear in the left hand-column. If that case category contained what the CSP classifies as civil appeals, administrative agency appeals, and juvenile appeals, then that would be noted by an "X" under each of those three case types. The "I" refers to Interlocutory Appeals and "N/A" means not applicable. Please check this Caseload Inventory so as to be sure that the CSP is classifying cases correctly.
3. The third section, called Manner of Disposition, provides the CSP with information on the terminology being used by each individual court to classify and count dispositions. There is a good deal of variability among state courts in how terms are defined. For example, what constitutes an "opinion"? Does the court differentiate between full opinion, per curiam opinions, and decisions without opinions and, if so, how? Which type of opinions are published? The CSP would greatly appreciate you providing us with this information for your court.
4. The fourth section provides us with the name of the contact person and their phone number. Make any changes or additions that are appropriate..

Appellate Court Jurisdiction Guide, 1985
 Date(s) collected/ revised: 10/29/86
 Coders: RTR/MEE
 Doc. #19960

The Clerk of the Court: (1 clerk):
 () participates in legal briefing and screening
 (X) does no legal work for the Court.
 Number judges 9
 Number of law trained support personnel:
 1. Chief justice 3
 2. Associate justices (3 per.) 24
 3. Central staff 1
 Total 28

1985
 GEORGIA: COURT OF APPEALS
 Intermediate Appellate Court

Court organization: Nine judges sit in three divisions (panels) of three that meet in Atlanta. Membership rotates yearly. The Court sits en banc when there is a dissenting vote in a panel, or when a panel determines that the whole Court should decide to sit en banc. A case is transferred to the COLR when there is a split vote when the Court sits en banc.

Procedure for granting discretionary review: The panel must be unanimous when deciding to grant discretionary review.

Jurisdiction: No original jurisdiction. Appellate jurisdiction over lower courts in which the COLR has no exclusive appellate jurisdiction.

When is an appeal counted as filed (at notice of appeal, completion of record, filing of briefs)? Record transcripts and exhibits are docketed within 24 hours receipt.

Is the appeal filed in the trial court or this court? IAC

What is the length of time in which a notice of appeal must be filed after the trial court judgment?
 Civil? 30 days Criminal? 30 days Juvenile? 30 days

Within what period of time must the court record be certified to the appellate court? 5 to 30 NOA days after filing transcript in lower court; if no transcript 20 days after filing notice of appeal. Are extensions permitted? Yes, by lower court. Infrequent.

Docketing to Appellant's brief and enumeration of error 20 days.

Appellant's brief to Respondent's brief 20 days, or 40 days after docketing, whichever is later.

Respondent's brief to Appellant's reply brief None days.

Appellant's reply to respondent's reply None days.

Are extensions permitted? Routinely filed and granted with cause.

Are there any penalties for failure to comply with these standards? Applt: subject to dismissal.

Within what period of time must the appellate judges write the opinion? Yes, constitutionally required no later than term following oral argument. Penalty for non-compliance? Not a problem.

What other time limits exist for case events in this court? Motion for rehearing within 10 days of decision.

CASELOAD INVENTORY

Please indicate on the following matrix how the case terminology reported by this court corresponds to the CSIM case terminology listed across the top of matrix:

CSIM CASE TERMINOLOGY

Discretionary Jurisdiction

This court's case terminology	Civil appeal	Criminal appeal	Administrative agency appeal	Juvenile appeal	Disciplinary (lawyer and/or judge)	Advisory opinion	Original proceedings*
Interlocutory applications					N/A	N/A	I
Discretionary applications	X	X	X	X(custody)			X

Are discretionary cases decided by the full court? No If not, by whom? By panels of three.

Does the number of discretionary petitions solely represent the decision to grant or deny review? (X) yes () no, it may include some decisions on the merits.

Are "requests to appeal" granted refiled as appeals, or do they keep same docket number? Refiled as general appeals.

Are granted requests to appeal (discretionary) reported separately from mandatory appeals? No If so, what is terminology?

Discretionary cases granted review are refiled as mandatory appeals.

If the court has discretionary jurisdiction, but reports only appeals, are requests to appeal denied counted in with these appeals? N/A

Mandatory Jurisdiction

This court's case terminology	Civil appeal	Death penalty appeal	Other criminal appeal	Administrative agency appeal	Juvenile appeal	Disciplinary (lawyer and/or judge)	Advisory opinion (nor feds)	Original proceedings*
General appeals	X	N/A	X	X	X	N/A	N/A	X+I(Granted)

*Original proceedings include original jurisdiction, postconviction remedy, and interlocutory appeals.

GEORGIA: COURT OF APPEALS
Intermediate appellate court

Administrative agency appeals come to this court directly from: (X) trial court, () agency, board, etc.

Is there a separate sentence review procedure at the trial court level? Yes, sentence review board.

Can a defendant appeal the length of the sentence only to this court? No

Where are sentence review only cases reported? N/A

Does this court count reopened/reinstated/rehearing cases as new filings? Yes, if transferred back with new jurisdiction.

Does this court give review on the merits to all appeals of right? Yes Is oral argument mandatory in all cases? No

If no, explain: Available if requested by one party.

Does this court have a separate case management procedure to expedite certain cases? Rarely If so, what kinds of cases and what procedure? First come, first serve generally.

What percentage of cases are processed in this manner? Rarely

Are interlocutory appeals included in this court's appeals filings? Cases docketed In original jurisdiction? No Elsewhere? Interlocu.

What matters other than the above does this court report (e.g., motions, rehearing requests, administrative matters)?

Please specify. Opinions by detailed case type; AFF/REV by detailed crime-case type.

MANNER OF DISPOSITION

CSIM classification	What terminology does this court use for these manners of disposition?	Source/comments
Opinion (majority)	<u>ND</u>	
Per curiam opinions	<u>ND</u>	
Decision without opinion: (Memorandum, or order).	<u>ND</u>	
Dismissed/withdrawn/settled	<u>ND</u>	
Transferred	<u>ND</u>	
Other	<u>ND</u>	

What does this court include in the category "opinion": (X) Signed majority opinion? () Per curiam opinion? N/A

() The number of concurring or dissenting opinions written?

Does this court's opinion count include unpublished opinions? _____

Do the "number of opinions" reported represent:

() the number of cases resolved by opinion (e.g., 2 consolidated cases resolved by 1 opinion, are reported as 2 opinions).

(X) the number of actual opinions rendered (e.g., 2 consolidated cases resolved by 1 opinion, are reported as 1 opinion).

What terminology does this court report for the following decisions?

Affirmed	<u>ND</u>
Modified	<u>ND</u>
Reversed	<u>ND</u>
Reversed and remanded	<u>ND</u>
Remanded	<u>ND</u>
Dismissed	<u>ND</u>
Relief Granted	<u>ND</u>
Relief Denied	<u>ND</u>
Discretionary Review Granted	<u>ND</u>
Discretionary Review Denied	<u>ND</u>
Other	<u>ND</u>

If there is an IAC, does the COLR transfer down a significant proportion of COLR filings? Not frequently.

Make organization chart match up with appellate routes.

Does the information above differ from the jurisdiction in 1984? No

Contact person who completed the above: Alton Hawk, Clerk (forwarded questionnaire to Victoria) Phone number 404-656-3450
Victoria McLaughlin, Deputy Clerk (completed questionnaire and spoke with us on phone)

Additional comments: (1) The Court is not automated.
(2) There are no preargument settlement conferences.

COLR = Court of last resort

IAC = Intermediate appellate court

N/A = Not applicable

ND = No data available

X = Data for CSIM case type is reported under this court's term listed in the court terminology column.



Court of Appeals

Memorandum

To: POPE, J.

From: V. MCLAUGHLIN

Subject: NUMBER OF APPEALS CLASSIFIED AS DRUG CASES FILED

Date: OCTOBER 30, 1990

When the primary or most serious crime in any criminal case is a drug conviction, we classify that case as VGCSA. If other more serious crimes are also included in a case, we classify by the most serious crime, e.g., rape or aggravated assault. In other words the following statistics DO NOT INCLUDE all cases in which drugs are involved--only those in which a drug offense is the primary and most serious crime are included:

In 1989, we docketed 268 drug convictions.

In 1990, we docketed 223 drug convictions.

30% of total decisions

UTIL001

COURT OF APPEALS
STATE OF GEORGIA

OCT 23, 1990.

VGCSA PROFILE

1989 DOCKET 268

1990 DOCKET 223

NOTE: THERE WERE 7 APPLICATION FILED IN 1989, 9 IN 1990.



Court of Appeals

Memorandum

To: Birdsong, J.
From: V. McLaughlin
Subject: Statistical Report on Reversals (1989)
Date: April 18, 1990

Total dispositions by this Court in 1989 in Criminal Cases: 831

Total number of reversals in whole or in part: 79

Reversal rate in criminal cases: 9%

Total dispositions by this Court in 1989 in Civil Cases: 1064

Total number of reversals in whole or in part: 390

Reversal rate in civil cases: 29%

Total dispositions in civil and criminal cases: 1895

Total reversals in whole or in part: 469

Total overall reversal rate: 21%



Court of Appeals

Memorandum

To: CARLEY, C.J.
 From: V. McLAUGHLIN
 Subject: STATISTICS FOR DISCRETIONARY APPLICATIONS FOR 1989
 Date: FEBRUARY 14, 1989

TOTAL NUMBER DISCRETIONARY APPLICATIONS DOCKETED 1989: 408
 (Total per Judge: 45)

TOTAL NUMBER DISCRETIONARY APPLICATIONS GRANTED: 102 (25%)

TOTAL NUMBER DISCRETIONARY APPLICATIONS DENIED: 251 (62%)

NOTE: BALANCE OF APPLICATIONS DISMISSED, TRANSFERRED TO SUPREME COURT,
 WITHDRAWN.

COURT OF APPEALS OF GEORGIA

433 STATE JUDICIAL BUILDING

ATLANTA, GEORGIA 30334

GEORGE H. CARLEY, CHIEF JUDGE
BRASWELL D. DEEN, JR., PRESIDING JUDGE
WILLIAM LEROY McMURRAY, JR., PRESIDING JUDGE
HAROLD R. BANKE, PRESIDING JUDGE
A. W. BIRDSONG, JR., JUDGE
JOHN W. SOGNIER, JUDGE
MARION T. POPE, JR., JUDGE
DOROTHY TOTH BEASLEY, JUDGE
CLARENCE COOPER, JUDGE

(404) 656-3450

VICTORIA McLAUGHLIN
CLERK
GAIL ARCENEUX
SPECIAL DEPUTY CLERK

February 7, 1990

Ms. Kaye Young
Housing Specialist
Georgia Legal Services
161 Spring Street
5th Floor
Atlanta, Georgia

Re: 1989 Statistics for Applications for Discretionary
Appeals pursuant to OCGA 5-6-35

Dear Ms. Young:

In response to your request for statistics regarding applications for discretionary appeals, I have determined that 408 applications were filed in this Court during calendar year 1989 pursuant to OCGA 5-6-35. 102 of those applications were granted; 251 were denied; 30 were dismissed; 9 were transferred to the Supreme Court; 5 were withdrawn by the parties; 2 were reclassified and 9 were still pending as of January 18, 1990, the date of our last computer report. As an additional note, the number of filings in 1989 under OCGA 5-6-35 increased 12.7% over 1988 filings.

Please call me if you have any questions or if I may be of further assistance.

Sincerely,


VICTORIA McLAUGHLIN

VMC/sc

1989 Update for Administrative Office of the Courts:

FILED

DIRECT APPEALS	2,361
DISCRETIONARY APPLICATIONS	408
INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATIONS	401
	<hr/>
	3,170

DISPOSED

BY OPINION	1,364	} CRIMINAL: 927 CIVIL: 1,770
BY ORDER	1,260	

494

DISCRETIONARY APPLICATIONS

Granted	102
Denied	251
Dismissed	27
Transferred to Supreme Court	8
Withdrawn	5
Changed to Interlocutory	2

INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATIONS

Granted	115
Denied	227
Dismissed	24
Transferred to Supreme Court	7
Withdrawn	7
Changed to Discretionary	2



Court of Appeals

Memorandum

To: JUDGE POPE

From: V. McLAUGHLIN

Subject: DISCRETIONARY APPLICATIONS IN WORKERS COMPENSATION CASES FOR
CALENDAR YEAR 1989

Date: September 25, 1990

With the help of Bud Tirey, our computer system has provided us with the following statistics for applications for discretionary appeal in workers compensation cases during calendar year 1989:

TOTAL DISCRETIONARY APPLICATIONS FILED: 408

TOTAL WORKERS COMPENSATION APPLICATIONS: 203

TOTAL WORKERS COMPENSATION APPLICATIONS GRANTED: 45

(% OF WORKERS COMPENSATION APPLICATIONS GRANTED: 22%)

COURT OF APPEALS
CLERKS OFFICE

PERIOD: JAN 1, 1989 THRU DEC 31, 1989

TOTAL DISCRETIONARY APPLICATION: 408

TOTAL WORKER'S COMPENSATION APPLICATION: 203

TOTAL GRANTED: 045

/ A89D0015/
/ A89D0028/
/ A89D0029/
/ A89D0031/
/ A89D0032/
/ A89D0041/
/ A89D0078/
/ A89D0092/
/ A89D0094/
/ A89D0097/
/ A89D0102/
/ A89D0115/
/ A89D0127/
/ A89D0128/
/ A89D0129/
/ A89D0135/
/ A89D0145/
/ A89D0149/
/ A89D0150/
/ A89D0163/
/ A89D0166/
/ A89D0167/
/ A89D0176/
/ A89D0178/
/ A89D0183/
/ A89D0193/
/ A89D0196/
/ A89D0205/
/ A89D0207/
/ A89D0214/

/ A89D0239/
/ A89D0242/
/ A89D0254/
/ A89D0266/
/ A89D0273/
/ A89D0304/
/ A89D0305/
/ A89D0326/
/ A89D0334/
/ A89D0337/
/ A89D0350/
/ A89D0361/
/ A89D0362/
/ A89D0363/
/ A89D0365/



I

Court of Appeals

Memorandum

To: CHIEF JUDGE CARLEY
From: V. McLAUGHLIN
Subject: DISPOSITIONS OF APPEALS AND INTERLOCUTORY AND DISCRETIONARY APPLICATIONS
Date: JANUARY 1, 1989 to December 31, 1989

FEBRUARY 12, 1990

SUMMARY OF 1989 DISPOSITIONS

TOTAL MATTERS DOCKETED 1989: 3,170

TOTAL CRIMINAL MATTERS DOCKETED AND DISPOSED: 927

TOTAL CIVIL MATTERS DOCKETED AND DISPOSED: 1,770

TOTAL DISPOSITIONS (ORDER AND OPINION): 2,697

Additional Note:

3,170 matters docketed 1989

2,696 disposed 1989

473 matters pending as of 12/31/89



Court of Appeals

Memorandum

To: CARLEY, C.J.

From: V. MC LAUGHLIN

**DISPOSITIONS OF DIRECT APPEALS
AND**

Subject: ~~1989~~ STATISTICAL REPORT ON REVERSALS IN WHOLE OR IN PART BY THIS COURT

Date: 2/9/90

TOTAL DISPOSITIONS BY THIS COURT 1989 IN CRIMINAL CASES: 831

Total number of reversals in whole or in part: 79

Reversal Rate: 10%

TOTAL DISPOSITIONS BY THIS COURT 1989 IN CIVIL CASES: 1064

Total number of reversals in whole or in part: 390

Reversal Rate: 29%

TOTAL DISPOSITIONS CIVIL AND CRIMINAL CASES: 1895

Total Reversals in whole in part all dispos: 390

Total Overall Reversal Rate: 21%



Court of Appeals

Memorandum

To: CHIEF JUDGE CARLEY

From: V. McLAUGHLIN

Subject: ~~1989~~ DISPOSITIONS

Date: MARCH 13, 1990

IN CALENDAR YEAR 1989 THIS COURT DISPOSED OF 1896 CASES BY OPINION.
DURING THE SAME CALENDAR YEAR THIS COURT DISPOSED OF 1269 CASES BY
ORDER.