

## EFFECT OF CASELOAD REDUCTION

## BY INCREASING THE NUMBER OF JUDGES ON THE COURT OF APPEALS

<u>YEAR</u>	# OF DIRECT APPEALS	<u>NUMBER OF JUDGES USED ON THE COURT OF APPEALS</u>									
		<u>9</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>11</u>	<u>12</u>	<u>13</u>	<u>14</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>16</u>	<u>17</u>	<u>18</u>
1995	2884	320	288	262	240	222	206	192	180	170	160
1994	2842	316	284	258	237	219	203	189	178	167	158
1993	2601	289	260	236	217	200	186	173	163	153	145
1992	2455	273	246	223	205	189	175	164	153	144	136
1991	2265	252	227	206	189	174	162	151	142	133	126

<u>YEAR</u>	# OF DIRECT APPEALS	<u>NUMBER OF JUDGES USED ON THE COURT OF APPEALS</u>										
		<u>19</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>21</u>	<u>22</u>	<u>23</u>	<u>24</u>	<u>25</u>	<u>26</u>	<u>27</u>	<u>28</u>	<u>29</u>
1995	2884	152	144	137	131	125	120	115	111	107	103	99
1994	2842	149	142	135	129	123	118	113	109	105	101	98
1993	2601	137	130	124	118	113	108	104	100	96	-	-
1992	2455	129	123	117	112	107	102	98	-	-	-	-
1991	2265	119	113	108	103	98	-	-	-	-	-	-

YEAR	DISCRETIONARY APPLICATIONS	NUMBER OF JUDGES USED ON THE COURT OF APPEALS									
		9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18
1995	532	59	53	48	44	41	38	35	33	31	30
1994	611	68	61	56	51	47	44	41	38	36	34
1993	475	53	48	43	40	37	34	32	30	28	26
1992	471	52	47	43	39	36	34	31	29	28	26
1991	430	48	43	39	36	33	31	29	27	25	24

YEAR	INTERLOCUTORY APPLICATIONS	NUMBER OF JUDGES USED ON THE COURT OF APPEALS									
		9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18
1995	417	46	42	38	35	32	30	28	26	25	23
1994	458	51	46	42	38	35	33	31	29	27	25
1993	450	50	45	41	38	35	32	30	28	26	25
1992	486	54	49	44	41	37	35	32	30	29	27
1991	450	50	45	41	38	35	32	30	28	26	25